CLERK'S OFFICE U.S. DIST. COURT AT CHARLOTTESVILE, VA FILED

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA CHARLOTTESVILLE DIVISION

	MAK	_	-,	
JŲ	LIA C.E	MOLI	Y CLEF	K
BY.	700		[EARN	D
	7)	4)	· · · ·	

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE)			$\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$
COMMISSION,)	CAS	E NO. 3:01CV00116	
)			
Plaintiff,)			
)	REPO	ORT AND RECOMMI	<u>ENDATION</u>
v.)			
)			
TERRY L. DOWDELL, et al.,)	By:	B. WAUGH CRIGL	ER
)		U.S. MAGISTRATE	E JUDGE
Defendants.)			

This action is before the undersigned in accordance with an Order entered on February 21, 2012, under authority of 28 U.S.C. §§ 636(b)(1)(B) and (b)(3), directing the undersigned to conduct proceedings necessary to determine the necessity and justice of the requested compensation and reimbursements and render a recommended disposition for the Receiver's February 13, 2012 Eleventh Interim Application for Allowance of Compensation and Expenses for Accountant to the Receiver ("Eleventh Application"). A thorough review of the Eleventh Application revealed that the Accountant had not billed at a rate consistent with that approved by the court, and the undersigned entered an Order on March 5, 2012 directing the Accountant and Receiver to file an amended Eleventh Application. (Dkt. No. 905.) On March 19, 2012, an Amended Eleventh Interim Application for Allowance of Compensation and Expenses for Accountant to the Receiver ("Amended Eleventh Application") was filed. (Dkt. No. 906.) For the following reasons, the undersigned RECOMMENDS that the presiding District Judge enter an Order DISMISSING the Eleventh Application and GRANTING the Amended Eleventh Application, APPROVING the Accountant's fees of \$8,950.25 and expenses in the amount of \$140.00, and AWARDING the Accountant the "holdback" in the amount of \$1,720.30.

BACKGROUND

By Administrative Order dated September 12, 2002, the court approved the Receiver's request to employ Keiter, Stephens, Hurst, Gary & Shreaves, P.C. ("Accountant"). (First Application, Exh. "A".) The Administrative Order provided that, on or before the last day of the month following the month for which compensation was sought, the Accountant was to submit a monthly statement to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") for its approval. (First Application, Exh. "A", p. 3.) If there was no objection to the monthly statement, the Accountant was authorized to receive from the Receivership eighty percent of the amount of the fees requested with twenty percent of the fees being held back ("holdback"). (*Id.*) The Accountant was entitled to reimbursement for one hundred percent of its expenses. (*Id.*) The Administrative Order also provided that, approximately every three months, the Accountant was to file an application for interim approval and allowance of compensation, including the "holdback," and reimbursement for expenses incurred during the preceding three-month period. (*Id.* at p. 4.)

In the First Interim Application for Allowance of Compensation and Expenses for Accountant to the Receiver ("First Application"), the Receiver sought approval of the Accountant's fees in the amount of \$535,726.95 and expenses in the amount of \$26,896.61 incurred between August 13, 2002 and September 30, 2006. (Dkt. No. 698.) On December 7, 2007, the undersigned recommended that the First Application be denied to the extent that the Receiver was seeking approval of payment of the outstanding "holdback" compensation, on the basis that the Accountant did not comply with the court's established quarterly process for seeking approval of fees and expenses for almost five years. (Dkt. No. 710.) The Receiver and the Accountant both filed Objections. (Dkt. Nos. 715, 716.)

On February 29, 2008, the presiding District Judge entered an Order sustaining the Objections, on the bases that the statements provided with the Objections showed that the Accountant's fees and expenses were not going entirely without oversight, and that a monetary penalty was not necessary to ensure future compliance with the court's September 12, 2002 Administrative Order. (Dkt. No. 719, p. 2.) At the same time, the Receiver and Accountant were cautioned that future failures to comply with the court's established procedure for fee applications could result in monetary or other sanctions. (Id. at pp. 2-3.)

In the Second Interim Application for Allowance of Compensation and Expenses for Accountant to the Receiver ("Second Application"), the Receiver sought approval of the Accountant's fees in the amount of \$130,269.25 and expenses in the amount of \$8,537.15 incurred between October 1, 2006 and December 31, 2007. (Dkt. No. 733, pp. 1, 3.) In the Third Interim Application for Allowance of Compensation and Expenses for Accountant to the Receiver ("Third Application"), the Receiver sought approval of the Accountant's fees in the amount of \$7,140.50 and expenses in the amount of \$168.82 incurred between January 1, 2008 and March 31, 2008. (Dkt. No. 735, pp. 1, 3.)

On June 9, 2008, the undersigned recommended that the presiding court enter an Order granting the Second and Third Applications, approving the Accountant's fees and expenses, and awarding the "holdback" amount in both applications. (Dkt. No. 749.) The undersigned also recommended that all future applications include data summarizing recoveries on behalf of and disbursements to the victims during the time period covered by those applications in an effort to

¹The presiding District Judge extended the time in which the Receiver could file its outstanding fee applications covering October 1, 2006 through December 31, 2007 until March 31, 2008. (Dkt. No. 719, p. 2 fn.1, p. 3.) On April 15, 2008, the time again was enlarged to April 30, 2008. (Dkt. 732.) Thus, the Second and Third Applications, filed on April 25, 2008, were timely-filed. (Dkt. Nos. 733, 735.)

allow the court to have a better opportunity to determine the reasonableness of the professional fees from a cost-benefit perspective. (Dkt. No. 749, p. 5.) The Receiver and the Accountant filed Responses, generally agreeing with the undersigned's recommendations, but expressing concerns over the form in which the information was to be furnished to permit a cost-benefit analysis in all future fee applications. (Dkt. No. 755, p. 2.)

The presiding District Judge entered an Order on August 15, 2008, adopting the undersigned's recommendation to grant the Second and Third Applications, approving the Accountant's fees and expenses, and awarding the "holdback" amounts in both applications. (Dkt. No. 773.) The presiding District Judge expressed an intent that the court not be a "rubber stamp" for fee applications submitted by the Receiver and its professionals, and the determination of the form and the means by which overall costs and benefits of the Receiver's efforts were to be assessed was referred to the undersigned. (*Id.* at p. 2.)

The Receiver filed a Fourth Interim Application for Allowance of Compensation and Expenses for Accountant to the Receiver ("Fourth Application") on August 11, 2008. (Dkt. No. 771.) In the Fourth Application, the Receiver sought approval of Accountant's fees in the amount of \$15,191.50 and expenses in the amount of \$29.34, all incurred between April 1, 2008 and June 30, 2008. (Fourth Application, pp. 1, 2.) Upon review of the Fourth Application, the undersigned developed some concerns about compensation for some 50.7 hours which was reported as "non-recurring work" under the heading "Administration of Case." (*Id.* at Exhibit A, p. 3.) On August 25, 2008, the undersigned entered an Order directing the Receiver to supplement the Fourth Application with further information to assist the undersigned in determining the reasonableness of these charges. (Dkt. No. 776.)

In response to the Order, the Receiver, on September 9, 2008, filed a Supplement to the Fourth Interim Application for Allowance of Compensation and Expenses for Accountant to the Receiver ("Supplement"). (Dkt. No. 779.) In the Supplement, the Receiver explained that the non-recurring charges questioned by the court related to the Accountant's work associated with "organizing, indexing, and archiving working papers, documents and other media as beneficial to the Receivership." (*Id.* at p. 3.) According to the Receiver, the charges were incurred in an effort to increase the Accountant's capacity to quickly locate and produce information for the Receiver and the SEC until completion of their roles in the case. (*Id.*)

Also on September 9, 2008, the Receiver filed the Amended Fourth Interim Application for Allowance of Compensation and Expenses for Accountant to the Receiver ("Amended Fourth Application"). (Dkt. 777.) The Amended Fourth Application revealed that, after discussions with the SEC concerning the magnitude of fees incurred for preparing the Accountant's Third Application, the Accountant agreed to reduce the fees claimed in the Fourth Application by \$2,000. (Amended Fourth Application, pp. 3-4.)

The undersigned recommended that the presiding District Judge enter an Order granting, in part, and denying, in part, the Receiver's Amended Fourth Application. (Dkt. No. 791.) It was recommended that the Amended Fourth Application be denied to the extent that \$147.00 should be deducted from the "holdback" to account for an hourly rate overcharge by the Accountant. (*Id.* at pp. 5-6.) The presiding District Judge entered an Order on November 25, 2008 adopting the recommendation. (Dkt. No. 795.)

On January 5, 2009, an Order entered giving the Receiver until January 15, 2009 in which to file either an application for fees and expenses claimed by the Accountant or a notice

that none were being claimed. (Dkt. No. 796.) The Fifth Interim Application for Allowance of Compensation and Expenses for Accountant to the Receiver ("Fifth Application") was filed on January 15, 2009. (Dkt. No. 797.) The undersigned recommended that the presiding court enter an Order granting the Fifth Application and approving the Accountant's fees. (Dkt. No. 803.) The presiding District Judge entered an Order adopting the recommendation on February 18, 2009. (Dkt. No. 805.)

The Sixth Interim Application for Allowance of Compensation and Expenses for Accountant to the Receiver ("Sixth Application") was filed on April 3, 2009. (Dkt. No. 809.) The undersigned recommended that the presiding court enter an Order granting the Sixth Application and approving the Accountant's fees. (Dkt. No. 813.) The presiding District Judge entered an Order adopting the recommendation on April 30, 2009. (Dkt. No. 816.)

On July 30, 2009, the Seventh Interim Application for Allowance of Compensation and Expenses for Accountant to the Receiver ("Seventh Application") was filed. (Dkt. No. 820.) Upon review of the Seventh Application, the undersigned developed some concerns about the fact that the Accountant had billed for 5.5 hours spent preparing "fee application," yet the undersigned could not discern which fee applications were at issue. On August 3, 2009, the undersigned entered an Order directing the Receiver to supplement the Seventh Application. (Dkt. No. 824.)

In response to the Order, the Receiver, on August 5, 2009, filed a Supplement to the Seventh Interim Application for Allowance of Compensation and Expenses for Accountant to the Receiver ("Supplement"). (Dkt. No. 826.) In the Supplement, the Receiver explained that the

Accountant's entries for time spent working on "fee application" related to the Fifth Application and the Sixth Application.

The undersigned recommended that the presiding court enter an Order granting the Seventh Application and approving the Accountant's fees. (Dkt. No. 827.) The presiding District Judge entered an Order adopting the recommendation on September 17, 2009. (Dkt. No. 829.)

The Eighth Interim Application for Allowance of Compensation and Expenses for Accountant to the Receiver ("Eighth Application") was filed on October 26, 2009. (Dkt. No. 832.) The undersigned recommended that the presiding court enter an Order granting the Eighth Application and approving the Accountant's fees. (Dkt. No. 836.) The presiding District Judge entered an Order adopting the recommendation on November 17, 2009. (Dkt. No. 839.)

The Ninth Interim Application for Allowance of Compensation and Expenses for Accountant to the Receiver ("Ninth Application") was filed on March 15, 2010. (Dkt. No. 850.) The undersigned recommended that the presiding court enter an Order granting the Ninth Application and approving the Accountant's fees. (Dkt. No. 857.) The presiding District Judge entered an Order adopting the recommendation on May 3, 2010. (Dkt. No. 862.)

On February 23, 2011, the Tenth Interim Application for Allowance of Compensation and Expenses for Accountant to the Receiver ("Tenth Application") was filed. (Dkt. No. 878.) The undersigned recommended that the presiding court enter an Order granting the Tenth Application and approving the Accountant's fees. (Dkt. No. 881.) On April 6, 2011, the presiding District Judge entered an Order adopting the recommendation. (Dkt. No. 884.)

On February 13, 2012, the Eleventh Interim Application for Allowance of Compensation and Expenses for Accountant to the Receiver was filed. (Dkt. No. 902.) A thorough review of the Eleventh Application revealed that the Accountant had not billed at a rate consistent with that approved by the court, and the undersigned entered an Order on March 5, 2012 directing the Accountant and Receiver to file an amended Eleventh Application. (Dkt. No. 905.) On March 19, 2012, an Amended Eleventh Interim Application for Allowance of Compensation and Expenses for Accountant to the Receiver was filed. (Dkt. No. 906.)

FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATION

The Amended Eleventh Application covers the period of January 1, 2011 through September 30, 2011. (Amended Eleventh Application, pp. 1, 2.) The Receiver seeks the approval of \$8,950.25 in fees and \$140.00 in expenses and the award of the outstanding "holdback" in the amount of \$1,720.30. (*Id.* at pp. 1, 3.)

The hourly rates charged by the Accountant and its staff range from \$120 to \$315. (*Id.* at Appendix C.) These rates are consistent with those authorized by the court in its May 6, 2010 Order approving the Receiver's Fourth Motion for the Court to Modify Administrative Order. (Dkt. No. 865.) Thus, the hourly rates are reasonable.

The Accountant seeks compensation for 47.15 hours of service during this billing period.

(Amended Eleventh Application, Appendix A.) The undersigned finds this to be a reasonable number of hours.

For the reasons set forth, the undersigned RECOMMENDS that the presiding District Judge enter an Order DISMISSING the Eleventh Application and GRANTING the Amended Eleventh Application, APPROVING the Accountant's fees of \$8,950.25 and expenses in the

amount of \$140.00, and AWARDING the Accountant the "holdback" in the amount of \$1,720.30.

The Clerk is directed to immediately transmit the record in this case to the Hon. Norman K. Moon, United States District Judge. Those affected by this Report and Recommendation are reminded that pursuant to Rule 72(b) they are entitled to note objections, if any they may have, to this Report and Recommendation within fourteen (14) days hereof. Any adjudication of fact or conclusion of law rendered herein by the undersigned not specifically objected to within the period prescribed by law may become conclusive upon the parties. Failure to file specific objections pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l)(C) as to factual recitations or findings as well as to the conclusions reached by the undersigned may be construed by any reviewing court as a waiver of such objection.

The Clerk of the Court hereby is directed to send a certified copy of this Report and Recommendation to all counsel of record.

ENTERED:

United States Magistrate Judge

 $\overline{\overline{}}$